Journal of Multiscale Materials Informatics

Vol. 02, No. 02, October 2025
https://publikasi.dinus.ac.id/index.php/jimat/ ISSN 3047-5724
DOI: 10.62411/jimat.v2i2.14929

Quantum Neural Network in Architectures, Learning Mechanisms, and Emerging Applications Across Domains: A Review

Muhamad Akrom*

Research Group for Quantum Computing and Materials Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Semarang 50131, Indonesia

Article Info
Received : October 15, 2025
Revised : November 12, 2025
Accepted : November 19, 2025

Keywords:
Quantum Neural Network, Variational Quantum Circuit, Quantum Machine Learning

ABSTRACT
Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) represent a novel computational paradigm that merges the principles of quantum computing with the architecture of artificial neural networks. Through the quantum phenomena of superposition, entanglement, and interference, QNNs enable parallel computation in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces, offering the potential to surpass the representational limits of classical models. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the theoretical foundations and architectures of QNNs, including Quantum Perceptrons, Variational Quantum Circuits (VQCs), Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks (QCNNs), and Quantum Recurrent Neural Networks (QRNNs). Furthermore, it discusses hybrid quantum–classical training mechanisms and key challenges such as barren plateaus, decoherence, and sampling complexity. The review also highlights recent applications of QNNs in medical diagnostics, materials science, and financial forecasting, demonstrating their potential to accelerate computation and improve predictive accuracy. Finally, future research directions are discussed in relation to computational efficiency, model interpretability, and integration with next-generation quantum hardware.

Corresponding Author:
email: m.akrom@dsn.dinus.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has experienced remarkable advancements over the past decade, primarily driven by the evolution of deep neural networks (DNNs). These architectures have demonstrated extraordinary capabilities in areas such as computer vision, natural language processing, and scientific discovery. However, as datasets grow in dimensionality and models become increasingly complex, the computational and energy demands of classical neural networks escalate exponentially. This limitation has motivated researchers to explore alternative computing paradigms capable of handling large-scale, high-dimensional data more efficiently [1], [2], [3].

Quantum computing (QC), based on the principles of quantum mechanics, offers a fundamentally different approach to information processing. Quantum bits, or qubits, can exist in superpositions of states and become entangled with one another, enabling simultaneous exploration of a vast number of computational paths. By leveraging these properties, quantum computers can theoretically outperform classical systems in specific classes of problems such as optimization, search, and simulation [4], [5], [6].

At the intersection of these two paradigms lies the emerging field of Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs). This hybrid approach integrates the structure and learning principles of neural networks with the computational advantages of quantum mechanics. Unlike classical neurons that process deterministic real-valued inputs, quantum neurons operate on qubits, allowing information to be represented in high-dimensional complex vector spaces (Hilbert spaces). This intrinsic parallelism enables QNNs to model complex nonlinear relationships with potentially fewer computational resources [7], [8], [9].

Despite their theoretical promise, QNNs remain an active area of exploration rather than a fully mature technology. The realization of practical QNNs is currently constrained by hardware limitations in the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era, where qubits are prone to decoherence and gate errors. Moreover, training QNNs poses unique challenges, such as the vanishing gradient phenomenon known as barren plateaus, and the probabilistic nature of quantum measurement, which complicates gradient-based optimization [10], [11].

Several studies have provided conceptual and technical overviews of QNNs, outlined the methodological framework and dilemmas in QNN research, and demonstrated the feasibility of Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks (QCNNs) for quantum state recognition. Building upon these foundational works, the present review aims to provide a structured synthesis of QNN architectures, training paradigms, and real-world applications, emphasizing both the potential advantages and the practical challenges that must be addressed before QNNs can achieve widespread adoption.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Quantum Mechanical Principles Underlying QNNs

The foundation of Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) lies in the mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics, where information is represented and manipulated according to the principles of superposition, entanglement, and unitary evolution.

A quantum bit, or qubit, can exist simultaneously in a combination of basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, represented as $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, where α and β are complex probability amplitudes satisfying $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. This property allows QNNs to process exponentially many input configurations in parallel, offering a level of representational power unattainable by classical neurons [12], [13].

Entanglement establishes correlations between qubits that cannot be described classically. This enables the encoding of complex relationships across qubits, which is analogous to feature interdependencies in classical deep networks. Entanglement allows information about one qubit to instantaneously affect another, enhancing expressive capacity for pattern recognition and feature mapping [14].

Quantum operations are expressed as unitary transformations, which preserve the overall probability amplitude. These transformations act as the equivalent of weight updates in classical neural networks. In a QNN, a sequence of unitary gates composes a quantum circuit, which defines the structure and information flow of the network [15].

One fundamental challenge in designing QNNs is that quantum evolution is inherently linear, while classical neural networks rely on nonlinear activation functions. To overcome this, researchers employ nonlinear mappings via measurement, post-selection, or data re-encoding strategies. These approaches simulate nonlinearity by converting probabilistic measurement outcomes into classical cost functions that guide parameter updates [16].

2.2 Motivation for Quantum Neural Networks

The integration of quantum computing with neural networks is motivated by several theoretical and practical considerations [17], [18]:

- By operating in exponentially large Hilbert spaces, QNNs can represent complex decision boundaries and correlations that classical networks require substantially more parameters to capture.
- Quantum states can encode information compactly, reducing the dimensionality burden of classical models when dealing with high-dimensional data.
- Studies suggest that QNNs may exhibit more favorable generalization bounds due to their structural constraints and the inherent randomness introduced by quantum measurements.
- In domains such as quantum chemistry, materials science, and condensed matter physics, data are inherently quantum in nature. QNNs can process these quantum states directly without the need for costly classical encoding, thus bridging the gap between experimental data and predictive modeling.
- Most practical implementations of QNNs follow a hybrid quantum–classical framework, where quantum circuits handle feature transformation and representation, while classical optimizers perform gradient-based learning. This approach allows leveraging existing quantum hardware while maintaining compatibility with established machine learning pipelines.

Collectively, these motivations highlight the transformative potential of QNNs in expanding the capabilities of artificial intelligence, enabling models that are not only computationally powerful but also physically grounded in the principles of quantum mechanics.

3. Architectures and Models of Quantum Neural Networks

The architecture of a Quantum Neural Network (QNN) defines how quantum information is represented, transformed, and measured within a computational circuit. Although the concept draws inspiration from classical neural networks, QNNs differ fundamentally in data encoding, information propagation, and training dynamics. The diversity of QNN architectures reflects the various strategies developed to exploit quantum parallelism while mitigating current hardware constraints.

3.1 Quantum Perceptron

The Quantum Perceptron is the conceptual building block of QNNs, analogous to the classical perceptron introduced by Rosenblatt. Schuld, Sinayskiy, and Petruccione (2015) proposed one of the earliest formulations by representing the neuron as a unitary transformation acting on qubits. The perceptron's input weights are encoded as rotation angles in quantum gates, and its activation corresponds to a measurement-based decision rule [19].

Formally, a single-qubit perceptron can be expressed as:

$$|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = U(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x})|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle$$

where U(w, x) is a parameterized unitary operation dependent on input vector (x) and weight parameters (w). Nonlinearity arises from quantum measurement and probabilistic post-processing rather than explicit activation functions.

This design allows for parallel processing of quantum-encoded features but is constrained by the no-cloning theorem, which prohibits direct signal duplication between layers. Consequently, more sophisticated network topologies are constructed using ancillary qubits and entanglement-based communication.

3.2 Variational Quantum Circuits (VQC)

Among all architectures, Variational Quantum Circuits (VQCs) are currently the most widely adopted framework for QNNs, especially in the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era. A VQC consists of a series of parameterized quantum gates (unitary transformations) whose parameters are iteratively optimized via a classical feedback loop [20].

A typical VQC-based QNN comprises four components:

- Data Encoding (Quantum Embedding): Classical input data are encoded into quantum states using rotation, amplitude, or phase encoding. For example, an input vector (x_i) may be mapped through a rotation gate ($Ry(x_i)$).
- Parameterized Quantum Ansatz: The encoded qubits are transformed through layers of parameterized gates and entangling operations, such as controlled-NOT (CNOT) or controlled-phase gates. These layers serve as the network's learnable representation.
- Measurement and Cost Evaluation: The output qubits are measured to obtain expectation values that represent network predictions. These values are compared against target outputs to compute a cost function.
- Classical Optimization: The circuit parameters are updated using classical optimizers such as gradient descent, Adam, or Simultaneous Perturbation Stochastic Approximation (SPSA), forming a hybrid quantum–classical learning loop.

Mathematically, the optimization objective can be written as:

$$\min_{\theta} C(\theta) = \sum_{i} \langle \psi_i(\theta)|H|\psi_i(\theta)\rangle$$

where (H) is an observable defining the loss landscape and (\theta) represents the trainable parameters. While VQCs are versatile, they face the barren plateau problem, where the gradient of the cost function vanishes exponentially with circuit depth. Research has shown that shallow, problem-inspired ansätze and structured entanglement can mitigate this issue.

3.3 Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks (QCNN)

The Quantum Convolutional Neural Network (QCNN) extends the idea of hierarchical feature extraction to the quantum domain. Proposed by Cong, Choi, and Lukin (2019), QCNNs apply localized quantum operations analogous to classical convolutions, followed by pooling operations achieved via qubit measurement and discarding [21].

In QCNNs:

- Local entangling gates act as convolutional filters.
- Pooling is achieved through measurement and selective qubit removal.
- The process is repeated hierarchically, reducing qubit dimensionality while amplifying relevant quantum correlations.

QCNNs have demonstrated remarkable performance in tasks such as quantum phase recognition and error correction, suggesting their suitability for both physical system modeling and classical data analysis.

3.4 Quantum Recurrent Neural Networks (QRNN)

To model sequential or temporal data, researchers have explored Quantum Recurrent Neural Networks (QRNNs), where feedback and memory are embedded through recurrent entanglement. The quantum state at time (t) evolves according to:

$$|\psi_{t+1}\rangle = U(\theta_t)|\psi_t, x_t\rangle$$

Here, the state depends on both the current input (x_t) and the previous quantum state $|\psi_t\rangle$, allowing temporal information to be encoded in qubit superpositions. Although still largely theoretical, QRNNs hold promise for sequence learning, quantum control, and time-series forecasting [22].

3.5 Co-Design and Hybrid Architectures

Recent research trends emphasize co-design frameworks that jointly optimize the neural architecture and quantum circuit layout. For example, the QuantumFlow architecture maps neural network layers directly onto quantum circuits, achieving a computational complexity of ($O(k^2)$) compared to the ($O(k^2)$) scaling in classical networks. Other hybrid strategies combine classical deep networks with quantum feature maps, producing hybrid QNNs capable of outperforming traditional models in small-data regimes.

4. Training Mechanisms and Challenges

Training a Quantum Neural Network (QNN) involves optimizing the parameters of quantum gates to minimize a predefined cost function, typically measuring the difference between predicted and target outputs. Unlike classical neural networks, which update weights deterministically using gradient-based algorithms, QNNs operate through hybrid quantum–classical optimization loops that must account for the probabilistic nature of quantum measurement and hardware noise [23].

4.1 Hybrid Quantum–Classical Optimization Loop

Most practical QNNs are implemented as variational models within the *hybrid quantum–classical paradigm*. The training process consists of the following iterative loop:

- Initialization: Circuit parameters (θ) are initialized, often randomly or using heuristic priors.
- Quantum Forward Pass: The quantum circuit executes on a quantum processor (or simulator), generating an output quantum state $|\psi(\theta)\rangle$.
- Measurement: Observable quantities, such as expectation values $\langle\psi(\theta)|H|\psi(\theta)\rangle$, are measured. Since quantum measurements are probabilistic, multiple circuit executions (shots) are required to estimate expected values accurately.
- Classical Cost Computation: The measurement outcomes are used to compute a cost function ($C(\theta)$), which quantifies model error relative to target values.
- Classical Optimization: The parameters (θ) are updated using a classical optimizer (e.g., gradient descent, SPSA, or Adam).
- Iteration: The process repeats until the convergence criteria are met or the cost function stabilizes.

This hybrid learning loop bridges quantum parallelism with classical optimization stability, enabling practical QNN training on near-term hardware.

4.2 Gradient Evaluation and the Parameter-Shift Rule

In classical neural networks, gradients are obtained through backpropagation. In QNNs, however, direct differentiation is not possible due to the non-deterministic nature of quantum measurements. Instead, gradients are typically computed using the parameter-shift rule, which estimates the derivative of an expectation value $\langle O(\theta)\rangle$ with respect to a circuit parameter (θ_i):

$$\frac{\partial\langle O(\theta)\rangle}{\partial\theta_i} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\langle O(\theta_i + \frac{\pi}{2})\rangle - \langle O(\theta_i - \frac{\pi}{2})\rangle\right]$$

This approach enables gradient-based optimization without requiring analytic differentiation, making it compatible with automatic differentiation frameworks. However, gradient estimation is often computationally expensive since each parameter requires multiple circuit evaluations.

4.3 The Barren Plateau Problem

One of the most critical challenges in QNN training is the barren plateau phenomenon, in which the gradient magnitude of the cost function diminishes exponentially with the number of qubits or circuit depth. This results in training stagnation, where optimization algorithms fail to make progress because the gradient signal is indistinguishable from noise [24]. Mitigation strategies include:

- Designing shallow or problem-inspired ansätze, which reduce entanglement randomness.
- Applying layer-wise training or residual connections to preserve gradient flow.
- Using local cost functions instead of global observables to maintain higher gradient variance.
- Employing parameter initialization heuristics based on classical pretraining or physics-informed priors.

These techniques have proven effective in preventing gradient vanishing in variational quantum models, albeit at the cost of reduced circuit expressivity.

4.4 Noise, Decoherence, and Hardware Limitations

Quantum hardware in the current Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era remains susceptible to noise, decoherence, and gate errors. These imperfections distort the quantum state during computation, leading to inaccurate gradient estimation and unstable optimization [25]. Several error mitigation approaches have been proposed to alleviate these issues:

- Zero-noise extrapolation (ZNE) and probabilistic error cancellation for post-processing results.
- Circuit transpilation to minimize depth and gate count, reducing cumulative error.
- Noise-aware training, where the optimization process incorporates hardware noise models directly into the cost function.

Despite these efforts, the robustness of QNNs on real quantum devices remains a major research bottleneck.

4.5 Overfitting and Generalization

Given the high expressivity of QNNs and the small size of available quantum datasets, overfitting poses a substantial risk. Quantum states can encode large amounts of information in compact forms, potentially memorizing training data rather than learning generalizable patterns. Regularization strategies such as parameter norm penalties, dropout-like stochastic gate deactivation, and early stopping are being adapted from classical deep learning to mitigate overfitting in quantum contexts. Recent theoretical analyses suggest that the stochasticity inherent to quantum measurement may act as an implicit regularizer, improving generalization under certain conditions [26].

4.6 Sampling Complexity and Scalability

Each gradient evaluation in QNN training requires a large number of quantum circuit executions (shots) to estimate expectation values with sufficient accuracy. As model size and qubit count grow, this sampling complexity becomes a dominant bottleneck. Techniques such as importance sampling, gradient batching, and adaptive shot allocation have been explored to reduce computational overhead. However, scalable QNN training remains one of the grand challenges in quantum machine learning, particularly for applications requiring deep circuits and high precision [27].

5. Applications of Quantum Neural Networks in Medicine, Materials, and Finance

Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) have begun to find promising applications across multiple scientific and industrial domains where complex, high-dimensional, or nonlinear data patterns challenge classical algorithms. Despite the infancy of available quantum hardware, hybrid QNN implementations have demonstrated early potential for enhancing predictive accuracy, reducing computational complexity, and capturing correlations that are difficult to model using traditional machine learning (ML) systems. This section reviews selected applications in three key sectors—medicine, materials science, and finance—where QNNs show distinctive advantages [28].

5.1 Medical and Healthcare Applications

In medicine, diagnostic decision-making and biomedical signal analysis often involve large, multidimensional datasets such as medical images, genomic sequences, or patient health records. Although powerful, classical models face limitations in capturing nonlocal feature dependencies and generalizing from small or imbalanced datasets. Recent studies have explored Quantum Neural Networks and Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks (QCNNs) as next-generation diagnostic models. For example, Zhao and Wang (2021) demonstrated that QNN-based classifiers could outperform classical counterparts in distinguishing pathological patterns in synthetic medical datasets with limited samples. Similarly, hybrid QCNNs trained on quantum simulators have been proposed for image-based diagnosis, such as tumor recognition and lung tissue classification, leveraging quantum feature maps to extract correlations beyond classical pixel-space relationships [29].

In the broader context of Healthcare 5.0, QNNs have been proposed as enablers of quantum-assisted clinical decision support systems. Their capacity to process high-dimensional data efficiently suggests potential for integration with electronic health record systems, wearable devices, and real-time biomedical monitoring. Furthermore, the stochastic nature of quantum measurements introduces a form of regularization that can help prevent overfitting—critical in medical applications where data diversity is often limited. However, most current demonstrations rely on classical-quantum simulators rather than physical quantum devices, and full-scale deployment will depend on advances in hardware fidelity, quantum error correction, and interpretability frameworks suitable for regulated clinical environments.

5.2 Applications in Materials Science and Quantum Chemistry

The field of materials discovery is inherently quantum-mechanical, governed by complex interactions between electronic, atomic, and lattice structures. Traditional machine learning methods have achieved success in property prediction and materials screening, but often depend on feature engineering or large training datasets derived from density functional theory (DFT) simulations [30]. Quantum Neural Networks offer a more native representation of materials data because they can encode molecular and crystal structures directly into quantum states. This makes QNNs particularly attractive for predicting electronic band gaps, formation energies, and reaction barriers, where quantum correlations play a dominant role.

For instance, QNNs integrated with Variational Quantum Eigensolvers (VQEs) have been applied to approximate ground-state energies and electronic configurations of molecular systems. Similarly, Quantum Kernel Methods combined with QNN feature embeddings have shown superior performance in classifying molecular stability and predicting catalytic activity compared to classical support vector machines.
A recent research direction involves hybrid physics-informed QNNs, which embed known physical constraints (e.g., conservation laws or spin symmetries) into the network architecture. This fusion of data-driven and physics-based learning offers enhanced interpretability and sample efficiency, paving the way for quantum-assisted materials informatics capable of accelerating sustainable materials design.

5.3 Financial and Economic Modeling

In the financial sector, predictive modeling involves dealing with stochastic, noisy, and nonlinear market dynamics—making it an ideal testbed for quantum-enhanced algorithms. Quantum Neural Networks have been studied for tasks such as stock price prediction, portfolio optimization, and fraud detection. Paquet et al. (2022) introduced *QuantumLeap*, a hybrid QNN architecture that demonstrated improved predictive performance and reduced training time compared to classical recurrent and convolutional models on financial time-series data. QNNs can efficiently represent probability distributions and covariance structures in financial datasets through quantum superposition, enabling richer feature extraction than standard feedforward networks [31].

Moreover, Quantum Boltzmann Machines (QBMs) and Variational Quantum Circuits (VQCs) have been used for option pricing, risk estimation, and credit scoring, showing promise in capturing hidden correlations in multivariate financial systems. Recent studies have also proposed QNN-based solutions for detecting fraudulent transactions in large-scale banking data, where quantum embeddings enhance separability between legitimate and anomalous patterns. The potential for quantum speedup in portfolio optimization is particularly significant: by mapping the optimization landscape to a quantum Hamiltonian, QNNs and related hybrid algorithms can explore global minima more efficiently than classical gradient methods. Nevertheless, the realization of real-time, quantum-assisted financial forecasting remains contingent upon advances in hardware scalability and noise reduction.

5.4 Cross-Domain Insights

Across these domains, a typical pattern emerges: QNNs excel when applied to problems characterized by high-dimensional, entangled, and nonlinear data relationships. Their ability to encode and process data in the Hilbert space provides a computational advantage for learning from small or correlated datasets where classical models struggle. In addition, hybrid architectures combining QNNs with classical deep learning networks—such as convolutional or graph-based models—have proven to be practical approaches for leveraging existing data infrastructures while benefiting from quantum feature enhancement. Despite these promising developments, challenges remain in the scalability, interpretability, and hardware feasibility of QNN deployment. Yet, as quantum processors evolve toward fault-tolerant architectures, it is expected that QNNs will transition from experimental prototypes to operational tools in precision medicine, autonomous materials design, and financial analytics [32].

6. Future Research Directions and Prospects

Although Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) have shown theoretical promise and encouraging experimental progress, the field remains in its formative stages. Current research largely depends on small-scale simulations or noise-prone NISQ (Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum) devices, which impose constraints on circuit depth, qubit connectivity, and error tolerance. To transition from proof-of-concept demonstrations to practical quantum-enhanced learning systems, several key research directions must be pursued.

6.1 Scalable and Problem-Inspired Ansatz Design

The architecture, or ansatz, of a QNN critically determines its expressive power and trainability. Deep and highly entangled circuits often suffer from barren plateaus, whereas overly shallow circuits lack sufficient representational capacity. Future work should focus on *problem-inspired ansätze* that incorporate domain-specific priors—such as known symmetries, conservation laws, or data structure constraints—to reduce the number of trainable parameters while maintaining expressivity. Recent advances in hardware-efficient ansätze, tensor-network-based circuits, and modular quantum layers offer potential pathways to scalable QNN architectures that preserve gradient flow and remain implementable on near-term quantum processors [33].

6.2 Quantum Residual and Skip-Connection Architectures

Borrowing insights from deep classical networks, incorporating residual connections or skip layers into QNN architectures may alleviate gradient degradation and improve training stability. Residual-style QNNs could enable deeper circuits without incurring exponential vanishing gradients, facilitating more complex function approximation while preserving trainability in hybrid optimization loops [34].

6.3 Quantum Transfer Learning and Pretraining

The concept of quantum transfer learning—adapting pretrained quantum models to new datasets or tasks—has emerged as a promising approach to overcome data scarcity and reduce training costs. Hybrid QNNs can utilize quantum feature extractors trained on generic data (e.g., molecular spectra or time-series) and fine-tune them for task-specific objectives. This approach parallels transfer learning in classical deep learning and can accelerate convergence while mitigating overfitting. Moreover, cross-domain quantum pretraining, in which embeddings learned from one physical system are repurposed for another, could foster reusable quantum representations that generalize across applications [35].

6.4 Explainable and Interpretable Quantum Artificial Intelligence (XQAI)

Interpretability remains a central challenge for both classical and quantum machine learning. For QNNs, the probabilistic and high-dimensional nature of quantum states complicates the direct interpretation of learned parameters. The emerging field of Explainable Quantum AI (XQAI) seeks to bridge this gap by developing visualization tools, sensitivity analyses, and physics-informed interpretability frameworks that translate quantum feature transformations into human-understandable concepts. Integrating XQAI into QNN pipelines will be crucial for adoption in high-stakes domains such as healthcare, finance, and scientific discovery, where transparency and trust are essential [36].

6.5 Federated and Distributed Quantum Learning

As quantum cloud infrastructures mature, federated quantum learning—the distribution of QNN training across multiple quantum nodes—could enable privacy-preserving collaboration without centralizing sensitive data. In such architectures, local quantum models compute updates on proprietary datasets, and a global model aggregates quantum parameters or observables. This paradigm could play a transformative role in multi-institutional medical research and global financial modeling, where data privacy, security, and distributed computation are of paramount importance [37].

6.6 Integration with Next-Generation Quantum Hardware

The realization of large-scale, fault-tolerant quantum computers will ultimately determine the success of QNNs. As hardware improves, research should focus on co-designing algorithms and circuits that exploit the specific advantages of quantum architectures—such as topological qubits, photonic processors, or superconducting lattice systems. In parallel, error-corrected QNN implementations should be developed to maintain model fidelity over long computational sequences. Hybrid architectures that combine classical preprocessing with quantum subroutines on high-fidelity qubits will likely dominate the transitional phase toward full-scale quantum intelligence systems [38], [39].

6.7 Toward Physically-Grounded and Cross-Disciplinary Quantum Learning

An emerging trend involves embedding physical principles directly into QNN learning objectives, giving rise to Physics-Informed Quantum Neural Networks (PI-QNNs). By enforcing physical constraints such as energy conservation, spin symmetries, or electronic coupling rules, these models ensure scientific validity while enhancing interpretability and data efficiency. Collaboration across disciplines—quantum physics, computer science, materials engineering, and data science—will be vital to realizing QNNs' full potential as a unifying framework for data-driven scientific discovery [40].

In summary, the next phase of QNN research will require progress on multiple fronts: scalable circuit design, efficient training algorithms, interpretable quantum representations, and integration with advanced hardware platforms. As these challenges are addressed, QNNs are poised to become a cornerstone of quantum-enhanced artificial intelligence, bridging the gap between computational theory and real-world application.

7. Conclusion

Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs) represent one of the most promising frontiers in the convergence of quantum computing and artificial intelligence. By leveraging the unique quantum-mechanical properties of superposition, entanglement, and interference, QNNs provide a fundamentally new way to encode and process information—one that transcends the linear limitations of classical neural architectures. Through hybrid quantum–classical frameworks, these models enable complex data transformations in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces while maintaining compatibility with existing machine learning infrastructures. This review has presented an integrated overview of QNNs, encompassing their theoretical foundations, architectural designs, learning mechanisms, and emerging applications in medicine, materials science, and finance. The discussion highlights that, although QNNs remain constrained by the limitations of current NISQ hardware, their conceptual advances—particularly in variational quantum circuits, quantum convolutional models, and hybrid optimization techniques—have laid the groundwork for scalable and adaptive quantum learning systems.

Key challenges persist, including mitigating barren plateaus, robustness to decoherence, interpretability of quantum models, and the high sampling complexity inherent to quantum measurements. Addressing these issues will require a coordinated effort across quantum algorithm design, hardware co-optimization, and cross-disciplinary collaboration between computer scientists, physicists, and domain experts.

Looking ahead, the evolution of QNNs will likely follow a trajectory similar to that of classical deep learning—driven by iterative improvements in hardware, algorithms, and accessibility. As error-corrected and large-scale quantum processors become available, QNNs are expected to transition from theoretical constructs to practical computational engines capable of solving previously intractable problems in science, engineering, and industry.

Ultimately, Quantum Neural Networks embody a transformative paradigm in computation: one that unites learning and physics at a foundational level, promising not only faster and more efficient computation but also a deeper understanding of the nature of intelligence itself.

8. CONCLUSION

Quantum Support Vector Machines represent a promising intersection of quantum computing and machine learning, offering potential speedups and improved performance for classification tasks. While significant challenges remain, ongoing advancements in quantum hardware and algorithms are likely to enhance the practical viability of QSVMs. Future research should focus on developing more efficient quantum algorithms, improving quantum hardware, and exploring new application domains to realize the potential of QSVMs fully.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Zulehner, R. Wille, Simulation and design of quantum circuits, in I. Ulidowski, I. Lanese, U.P. Schultz, C. Ferreira (Eds.), Reversible Computation: Extending Horizons of Computing: Selected Results of the COST Action IC1405, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 60–82 (2020), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47361-7_3.

[2] M. Benedetti, E. Lloyd, S. Sack, M. Fiorentini, Parameterized quantum circuits as machine learning models, Quantum Sci. Technol., 4(4), (2019), http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ab4eb5, arXiv:1906.07682.

[3] J. Biamonte, P. Wittek, N. Pancotti, P. Rebentrost, N. Wiebe, and S. Lloyd. Quantum Machine Learning. Nature, 549(7671), 195-202 (2017).

[4] S. Budi, M. Akrom, G.A. Trisnapradika, T. Sutojo, W.A.E. Prabowo, Optimization of Polynomial Functions on the NuSVR Algorithm Based on Machine Learning: Case Studies on Regression Datasets, *Scientific Journal of Informatics*, 10(2), (2023), https://doi.org/10.15294/sji.v10i2.43929.

[5] M. Benedetti, J. Realpe-Gómez, and R. Biswas, Quantum-Assisted Learning of Hardware-Embedded Probabilistic Graphical Models. Physical Review A, 99(4), 042306 (2019).

[6] S. Lloyd, M. Mohseni, and P. Rebentrost, Quantum algorithms for supervised and unsupervised machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.0411.

[7] M. Schuld, I. Sinayskiy, and F. Petruccione, The quest for a quantum support vector machine. Quantum Information Processing, 13(11), 2567-2586 (2014).

[8] V. Havlíček, A.D. Córcoles, K. Temme, A.W. Harrow, A. Kandala, J.M. Chow, and J.M. Gambetta. Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. Nature, 567(7747), 209-212 (2019).

[9] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, A machine learning approach to predict the efficiency of corrosion inhibition by natural product-based organic inhibitors, *Phys Scr*, 99(3), 036006 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ad28a9.

[10] M. Akrom, Investigation of natural extracts as green corrosion inhibitors in steel using density functional theory, *Jurnal Teori dan Aplikasi Fisika*, 10(1), 89-102 (2022), https://doi.org/10.23960%2Fjtaf.v10i1.2927.

[11] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). "Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition." Cambridge University Press.

[12] Preskill, J. (1998). "Quantum Computing: Prologue." arXiv preprint quant-ph/9712048.

[13] Mermin, N. D. (2007). "Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction." Cambridge University Press.

[14] Ladd, T. D., Jelezko, F., Laflamme, R., Nakamura, Y., Monroe, C., & O'Brien, J. L. (2010). "Quantum computers." Nature, 464(7285), 45-53.

[15] Aaronson, S., & Arkhipov, A. (2011). "The Computational Complexity of Linear Optics." Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC).

[16] Wang, D., Guo, F., & Guo, Y. (2016). "A novel solution to multi-class classification problem using support vector machine." *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 7*(4), 563-571.

[17] Chang, H., Liu, Y., & Bai, Y. (2017). "A new multi-category support vector machine algorithm." *Soft Computing, 21*(6), 1377-1389.

[18] M.-Z. Ai, Y. Ding, Y. Ban, J.D. Martín-Guerrero, J. Casanova, J.-M. Cui, Y.-F. Huang, X. Chen, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, Experimentally realizing efficient quantum control with reinforcement learning, 2021, arXiv:2101.09020.

[19] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono. Development of quantum machine learning to evaluate the corrosion inhibition capability of pyrimidine compounds. Materials Today Communications, 39, 108758 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2024.108758.

[20] D. Alaminos, M.B. Salas, M.A. Fernández-Gámez, Quantum computing and deep learning methods for GDP growth forecasting, Comput. Econ. (2021) http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10614-021-10110-z.

[21] F.J. García-Peñalvo, Desarrollo de estados de la cuestión robustos: Revisiones sistemáticas de literatura, Educ. Knowl. Soc. (EKS) 23 (2022) http://dx.doi.org/10.14201/eks.28600, URL http://repositorio.grial.eu/handle/grial/2568.

[22] W. O'Quinn, S. Mao, Quantum machine learning: Recent advances and outlook, IEEE Wirel. Commun. 27 (3) (2020) 126–131, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MWC.001.1900341.

[23] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D.G. Altman, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement, Int. J. Surg. 8 (5) (2010) 336–341, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007.

[24] M. Petticrew, H. Roberts, Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide, vol. 11, 2006, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887.

[25] Y. Huang, H. Lei, X. Li, Q. Zhu, W. Ren, X. Liu, Quantum generative model with variable-depth circuit, Comput. Mater. Contin. 65 (1) (2020) 445–458, http://dx.doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2020.010390.

[26] M. Srikumar, C.D. Hill, L.C.L. Hollenberg, Clustering and enhanced classification using a hybrid quantum autoencoder, Quantum Sci. Technol. 7 (1) (2021) 015020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ac3c53.

[27] D. Konar, S. Bhattacharyya, B.K. Panigrahi, E.C. Behrman, Qutrit-inspired fully self-supervised shallow quantum learning network for brain tumor segmentation, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. (2021) 1–15, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1109/tnnls.2021.3077188, arXiv:2009.06767.

[28] M. Lukac, K. Abdiyeva, M. Kameyama, CNOT-measure quantum neural networks, in: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic, Vol. 2018-May, IEEE Computer Society, 2018, pp. 186–191, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ISMVL.2018.00040.

[29] Y. Li, R.G. Zhou, R. Xu, J. Luo, W. Hu, A quantum deep convolutional neural network for image recognition, Quantum Sci. Technol. 5 (4) (2020) http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ab9f93.

[30] M. Akrom, DFT Investigation of Syzygium Aromaticum and Nicotiana Tabacum Extracts as Corrosion Inhibitor, Science Tech: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi, 8(1), 42-48 (2022). http://dx.doi.org/10.30738/st.vol8.no1.a11775.

[31] H. Wang, J. Zhao, B. Wang, L. Tong, A quantum approximate optimization algorithm with metalearning for maxcut problem and its simulation via tensorflow quantum, Math. Probl. Eng. 2021 (2021) http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/6655455.

[32] A. Ceschini, A. Rosato, M. Panella, Design of an LSTM cell on a quantum hardware, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II 69 (3) (2022) 1822–1826, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2021.3126204.

[33] Y.-Y. Hong, C.J.E. Arce, T.-W. Huang, A robust hybrid classical and quantum model for short-term wind speed forecasting, IEEE Access 11 (2023) 90811–90824, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3308053.

[34] S.Y.-C. Chen, Asynchronous training of quantum reinforcement learning, Procedia Comput. Sci. 222 (2023) 321–330, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.08.171, International Neural Network Society Workshop on Deep Learning Innovations and Applications (INNS DLIA 2023).

[35] J. Preskill, Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond, Quantum 2 (2018) 79, http://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79.

[36] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono. Variational quantum circuit-based quantum machine learning approach for predicting corrosion inhibition efficiency of pyridine-quinoline compounds. Materials Today Quantum, 2, 100007 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100007.

[37] M. Akrom, Quantum machine learning for corrosion resistance in stainless steel, Materials Today Quantum, 3, 100013 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100013.

[38] R. Sharma, B. Kaushik, N.K. Gondhi, M. Tahir, M.K.I. Rahmani, Quantum particle swarm optimization based convolutional neural network for handwritten script recognition, Comput. Mater. Contin. 71 (3) (2022) 5855–5873, http://dx.doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2022.024232.

[39] M. Akrom, T. Sutojo, A. Pertiwi, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Investigation of Best QSPR-Based Machine Learning Model to Predict Corrosion Inhibition Performance of Pyridine-Quinoline Compounds, *J Phys Conf Ser*, 2673(1), 012014 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2673/1/012014.

[40] M. Akrom, A comprehensive approach utilizing quantum machine learning in the study of corrosion inhibition on quinoxaline compounds, Artificial Intelligence Chemistry, 2(2), 100073 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aichem.2024.100073.